Case 5:11-cr-00016-JF Document 8 Filed 01/05/11 Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

	, 22201
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,	Case Number <u>09mj70009PSG</u>
V.	
<u>CARLOS HERNANDEZ-PONCE</u> , Defendant.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142	(f), a detention hearing was held on January 5, 2011.
Defendant was present, represented by his attorney Cynthia Lie AFI	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Attorney Dave Paxton.	
PART I. PRESUMPTIONS APPLICABLE	
_	18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and the defendant has been convicted
of a prior offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) while on release	
period of not more than five (5) years has elapsed since the date of	conviction or the release of the person from imprisonment,
whichever is later.	
	or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety
of any other person and the community.	1 · C · · · C · · · 1 · · D · · · III · 1 · N · · 1 · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	he facts found in Part IV below) to believe that the defendant
has committed an offense	ent of 10 years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §
A for which a maximum term of imprisonm 801 et seq., § 951 et seq., or § 955a et seq	
This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condition	or combination of conditions will reasonably stare he
appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the comm	unity.
No presumption applies.	1100
PART II. REBUTTAL OF PRESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE	5 LO MIG. NAT. WA
/ / The defendant has not come forward with sufficient ex	ridence to rebut the applicable presumptions, and here courses.
This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condition appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the common No presumption applies. PART II. REBUTTAL OF PRESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE / / The defendant has not come forward with sufficient extherefore will be ordered detained. / / The defendant has come forward with evidence to rebut Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United States. PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INAPPLE)	THARD DISTRICE OF
/ / The defendant has come forward with evidence to rebu	it the applicable presumption[s] to wit:
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United States.	ORTHER
PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INAPPL	ICABLE)
₹ -	e evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will
reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required, AND	evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will
reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community	
PART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF REA	
/ / The Court has taken into account the factors set out in	
the hearing and finds as follows:	v C
Defendant, his attorney, and the AUSA have waived w	ritten findings.
PART V. DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION	
The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney Ger	
corrections facility separate to the extent practicable from persons awai	ting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal.
The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private co	nsultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the
United States or on the request of an attorney for the Government, the	person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the
defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance	e in donnection with a court proceeding.
: 1	
1 1	1 hyper
Dated:	1 7 2 1
	OR LLØYD
\$ 1	ates Magistrate Judge

AUSA ____, ATTY _____, PTS ____